

# 2000

## ANNUAL REPORT



# HONOLULU

# POLICE

# COMMISSION

HV7890  
H6  
A25  
2000  
COPY 3

MUNICIPAL LIBRARY, RECORDS MGMT & BOOKSTORE  
Department of Customer Services  
City Hall Annex, 558 S. King Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-3006

HV7890  
H6  
A25  
2000  
C-143

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Mission Statement of the Honolulu Police Commission	
Mayor's Message	
Organization Chart . . . . .	1
Chair's Message . . . . .	2
Honolulu Police Commissioners . . . . .	4
Meeting and Attendance . . . . .	6
Powers, Duties, and Functions . . . . .	7
Complaint Procedure . . . . .	9
Complaint Classification Guidelines . . . . .	11
Activities . . . . .	14
Complaint Cases . . . . .	19
Summary of Complaints . . . . .	20
Complaint Cases Registered By Month . . . . .	21
Complaint Case Registration - Comparative Figures . . . . .	22
Number of Complaint Cases Per Officers . . . . .	23
Requests for Legal Counsel by Police Officers . . . . .	24
Complaint Referrals by HPD . . . . .	25
Reports Requested by Corporation Counsel . . . . .	26
Requests for Subpoena Reports . . . . .	27

F 4-28-01



## **MISSION STATEMENT OF THE HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSION**

ESTABLISH A SYSTEM OF LAW ENFORCEMENT BASED ON DUE REGARD FOR THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF ALL PERSONS, WHICH SHALL PROMOTE THE HIGHEST DEGREE OF MUTUAL RESPECT BETWEEN POLICE OFFICERS AND THE PUBLIC AND WHICH SHALL PROVIDE FOR THE EXPEDITIOUS APPREHENSION OF THOSE WHO VIOLATE THE LAW.

MAINTAIN A MEANINGFUL, FAIR AND EFFECTIVE SYSTEM OF COMPLAINT PROCEDURE WHEREBY COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE CONDUCT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT OR ANY OF ITS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES ARE RECEIVED, CONSIDERED, INVESTIGATED AND RESOLVED.

ENHANCE THE PUBLIC CONFIDENCE, TRUST AND SUPPORT IN THE INTEGRITY, FAIRNESS AND RESPECT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, ITS OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

# MAYOR'S MESSAGE

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR, CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU



It is indeed my honor and pleasure to present the 2000 Annual Report of the Honolulu Police Commission to the citizens of the City and County of Honolulu.

Preserving the quality of life and safety of our citizens are a high priority. The Honolulu Police Department continues to solidify its partnership with the various communities and neighborhoods by enhancing community policing. Recruiting and hiring more police officers, focusing on traffic concerns, and opening three new police stations in Chinatown, Kapolei and Waikiki were in response to the needs of the community. All of these achievements have had positive impact in the relationship of our police department and communities.

The Honolulu Police Commission and Honolulu Police Department are to be commended for working together, in keeping our communities and streets a safe and better place to live.


A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jeremy Harris". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

**JEREMY HARRIS, MAYOR**  
CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU



# ORGANIZATION CHART

## HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSIONERS



## CHAIR'S MESSAGE

---




It appears that the Honolulu Police Department's Mission Statement - Integrity, Respect, and Fairness - is gradually becoming more meaningful among the men and women of the Honolulu Police Department. The application of the statement from top management to the rank and file is more evident and has emerged as a daily reminder and standard. Personnel held accountable to the Mission Statement is a mark of a progressive organization.

In the law enforcement arena, integrity is absolutely essential because anything questionable regarding this quality would drastically reduce the effectiveness of a law enforcement organization. Although the Honolulu Police Department invariably had their share of integrity problems, it has been proactive in preserving and safeguarding its integrity. They have successfully identified, investigated and fairly administered discipline to personnel whose integrity faltered. This self-policing process is a valuable tool to keep the police department in the right direction to protect and serve the public and at the same time, enhance public confidence in the Department.

I commend Chief Lee Donohue for his firm commitment and stance to achieve the highest standards of conduct within the Police Department. His fairness and toughness regarding integrity issues have contributed to molding and developing the Honolulu Police Department into a progressive and well-respected law enforcement organization. I am deeply grateful to Chief Donohue and the police department for their unselfish support and help.

I want to express my appreciation and thanks to the individual commissioners and the staff of the Honolulu Police Commission for their dedication, commitment and contributions to preserve and enhance the public confidence in our police department. I wish to thank the people of the City and County of Honolulu for their assistance and cooperation.



**LEONARD K. P. LEONG**

Chair

# HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSIONERS



The following conscientious and dedicated volunteer citizens comprise the present Honolulu Police Commission, whose objective is to serve the public to the best of its ability:



(front row) Chair Leonard Leong and Vice-Chair Cha Thompson  
(back row) Theo Butuyan, Darolyn Lendio, Dwight Yoshimura, Alan Ho, Melga Gendrano

**Chair Leonard K. P. LEONG**, Vice President of Royal Contracting Company, Inc., in charge of construction operations. Appointed on April 19, 1996, for a term to expire on December 31, 2000. Graduated from St. Louis High School. Received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Hawaii at Manoa.

**Vice-Chair Cha M. K. THOMPSON**, Executive Vice President of Tihati Productions, an entertainment company. Appointed on April 15, 1996, for a term which expired on December 31, 1999; and held over for 2000. Graduated from Farrington High School and Kapiolani Community College.



## HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSIONERS

---

**Commissioner Theo B. BUTUYAN**, owner of Elena's Restaurant in Waipahu and Maui and president of Elena's Corporation which imports Philippine products. Appointed on February 25, 1997, for a term to expire on December 31, 2001. Graduated from the University of Pangasinan in the Philippines and earned a Bachelor's Degree in Commerce, Accounting Major.

**Commissioner Melga T. GENDRANO**, full-time principal broker and residential property manager with Buddy Gendrano Realty, Inc. Appointed on February 25, 1997, for a term to expire on December 31, 2000. Graduated from Aklan College in the Philippines, and University of Hawaii at Manoa and the University of Illinois earning Bachelor's and Master's Degrees in Elementary Education.

**Commissioner Alan HO**, President and Chief Executive Officer of several Waikiki restaurants. Numerous business investments in China. Appointed on April 4, 1999, for a term to expire on December 31, 2003. Earned a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration, Finance from the University of Hawaii at Manoa. Honor graduate of Spencecliff Management Training Program.

**Commissioner Darolyn H. LENDIO**, partner with McCorriston, Miho, Miller, Mackinnon. In 1998, served as a member of the City Charter Commission. From 1994 to 1996, served as Corporation Counsel for the City and County of Honolulu. Appointed on February 9, 1998, for a term to expire on December 31, 2002. Graduated from Waialua High School and earned an A.B. Degree from University of Southern California and a Juris Doctor from the University of California Berkeley School of Law.

**Commissioner Dwight L. YOSHIMURA**, Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of General Growth Management of Hawaii, Inc. and General Manager of the Ala Moana Shopping Center. Appointed on December 17, 1997, for a term to expire on December 31, 2002. Graduated from Farrington High School and earned a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration from the University of Hawaii at Manoa.

## MEETING AND ATTENDANCE

---

The Commission held a total of twenty nine(29) meetings, twenty two(22) Executive Sessions and seven(7) Public Meetings during 2000.

	<u>Executive Sessions</u>	<u>Public Mtgs</u>	<u>Meetings Attended</u>	<u>Excused Absence</u>	<u>Unexcused Absence</u>
Comr. Butuyan	16	2	18	11	0
Comr. Gendrano	22	7	29	0	0
Comr. Ho	12	4	16	13	0
Comr. Lendio	13	3	16	13	0
Comr. Leong	21	7	28	1	0
Comr. Thompson	19	7	26	3	0
Comr. Yoshimura	15	5	20	9	0

The Commission meets in executive session the first and third Wednesday of each month with a public meeting held every fourth meeting. The members of the Commission serve without compensation and devote an average of 25 hours of their own time each month.

## POWERS, DUTIES, AND FUNCTIONS

---

---

### REVISED CHARTER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU, 1973 (2000 Edition)

**Section 6-1605, Police Commission.** There shall be a Police Commission which shall consist of seven members. The Commission may appoint such staff and engage consultants as is necessary to assist it in the performance of its duties. The Commission shall be governed by the provisions of Section 13-103, Boards and Commissions, of this charter.

**Section 6-1606. Powers, duties, and functions.** The Police Commission shall:

- (a) Adopt such rules as it may consider necessary for the conduct of its business and review rules and regulations for the administration of the department.
- (b) Review the annual budget prepared by the Chief of Police and may make recommendations thereon to the Mayor.
- (c) Submit an annual report to the Mayor and the City Council.
- (d) Receive, consider and investigate charges brought by the public against the conduct of the department or any of its members and submit a written report of its findings to the Chief of Police. A summary of the charges filed and their disposition shall be included in the annual report of the Commission.
- (e) Review and, if deemed necessary, make recommendations on the five-year plan and any update of goals and objectives for the police department which is submitted by the Chief of Police. The Commission shall not have the power to approve, modify, or reject the plan or any update.
- (f) Compare at least annually the actual achievements of the police department against the goals and objectives in the five-year plan or latest update submitted by the Chief.
- (g) Evaluate at least annually the performance of duties by the Chief of Police.

Except for purposes of inquiry or as otherwise provided in this charter, neither the Commission nor its members shall interfere in any way with the administrative affairs of the department.

# POWERS, DUTIES, AND FUNCTIONS

## MEMBERSHIP

The commissioners are appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the City Council for staggered terms of five years. A chair and vice-chair are elected annually by the members.

## ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

The Police Commission administrative staff consists of an executive officer/investigator, three investigators, a secretary-reporter, two police reporters and a senior clerk typist.

## BUDGET HIGHLIGHTS

	<u>Expended</u> <u>1999-2000</u>	<u>Budgeted</u> <u>2000-2001</u>
SALARIES	\$339,804	\$348,836
CURRENT EXPENSES	73,723	93,920
EQUIPMENT	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$413,527</b>	<b>\$442,756</b>

The 2000-2001 Current Expenses included the lease rental, electricity, parking and other related expenses for the Commission's office space at Ali'i Place.

# COMPLAINT PROCEDURE

---

A citizen may register a formal complaint at the Commission office between the hours of 7:45 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. If the complainant is unable to register his/her complaint at the Commission office, the citizen may call the office to make alternate arrangements through the outreach program.

Complaints must be filed within 60 days from the date of the incident to ensure the availability of accurate investigative information. Past experience has shown that waiting more than 60 days may result in unclear recall by witnesses, an inability on the part of the investigators to locate witnesses, or general confusion and distortion of the facts by all parties involved. The Commission, however, may permit the filing of a complaint after the 60-day period for the following reasons: 1) excusable neglect; 2) newly discovered evidence which by reasonable diligence could not have been discovered in time; or 3) any other reason justifying relief from the 60-day limitation.

The aggrieved citizen gives a statement of the incident to an investigator or police reporter. Upon completion of the final typed statement, the citizen has the opportunity to make any corrections. The complainant signs the statement attesting to its accuracy and the statement is notarized.



Police reporter getting ready to transcribe a tape.

## COMPLAINT PROCEDURE

---



Investigator responding to a complaint inquiry.

A Police Commission investigator (a non-law enforcement, civil service employee) begins the investigation by contacting as many witnesses as possible and gathering all available facts.

This information is compiled in an objective report and presented to the Commission members for review.

Each Police Commissioner carefully reads the report and renders a recommendation to uphold or deny the allegations of the complaint. This is recorded at an Executive Session. A majority opinion, consisting of four or more votes, determines the disposition of each case. If more information is needed or if a majority vote is lacking, the case is deferred. In the event a majority vote sustains a complaint, the case is then submitted to the Chief of Police for disciplinary action. The result of said action is forwarded to the Commission.

Once a case is filed with the Commission office, efforts are made to complete the investigation within 60 days to preserve the timeliness of investigations and any resultant disciplinary action.

# COMPLAINT CLASSIFICATION GUIDELINES

---

## HPC 1. PARTIAL ATTITUDE

Officers and employees shall not exhibit a favorable or unfavorable treatment or action of partiality or prejudice based upon a person's race, sex, religion, political persuasion, sexual orientation or preference, age, or disability.

## HPC 2. CONDUCT TOWARD THE PUBLIC

### A. Overbearing Conduct

Overbearing or oppressive conduct toward the public while acting under color of police authority is prohibited.

### B. Conduct Unbecoming an Officer

Officers and employees shall not use their office to solicit any gifts, gratuities, loans, fees, sexual favors, or to make dates, or engage in any other conduct that would bring discredit to the police department.

### C. Discourtesy

1. Officers and employees shall be courteous when dealing with the public and avoid harsh, violent, or insolent language.
2. Officers shall courteously furnish their names and badge numbers upon request, either orally or in writing.
3. Officers and employees shall not use degrading or abusive language toward the public.
4. Officers and employees shall not harass the public by badgering them unnecessarily or without provocation.

## HPC 3. COMMISSION OF ANY CRIMINAL ACT

Officers and employees shall not commit any criminal act.

### A. Damaging Private Property

Officers and employees shall not maliciously destroy or damage someone's private property without just cause.

# COMPLAINT CLASSIFICATION GUIDELINES

---

## (HPC 3. **COMMISSION OF ANY CRIMINAL ACT, cont.)**

### B. **Theft of Private Property**

Officers and employees shall not take the private property of someone with the intent to permanently deprive the owner of said property.

### C. **Threatening**

Officers and employees shall not threaten members of the public with bodily injury or threaten to arrest them without just cause.

## HPC 4. **DERELICTION OF DUTY**

Officers and employees shall identify criminal offenders and shall enforce all federal, state, and local laws and ordinances coming within the jurisdiction of the department, especially if the offender is another officer or employee of the department.

## HPC 5. **USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE**

The use of physical force shall be prohibited except to the extent reasonably necessary to accomplish a valid police objective.

### A. **Unnecessary Use of Force**

Officers and employees shall not use physical force where no physical force or contact is required or otherwise permitted by department rules and regulations.

### B. **Excessive Use of Force**

Where physical force is necessary, no officer or employee shall use force above or beyond permissible limits.

### C. **Malicious Use of Force**

No officer or employee shall maliciously use physical force which could reasonably be expected to result in bodily injury or maliciously use a dangerous instrument with the intent to inflict bodily injury.

# COMPLAINT CLASSIFICATION GUIDELINES

---

## (HPC 5. **USE OF PHYSICAL FORCE, cont.**)

### **D. Mistreatment of a Prisoner**

Officers and employees shall not intentionally mistreat prisoners, and are required to protect their prisoner once the prisoner is in their custody.

## HPC 6. **UNNECESSARY USE OF WEAPON**

No officer or employee shall use any weapon unless authorized to do so by the department or state law.

### **A. Firearms**

Officers shall not display or draw their firearms unnecessarily.

### **B. Oleoresin Capsicum (Pepper Spray)**

Officers shall not use Oleoresin Capsicum (Pepper Spray) to threaten or inflict punishment.

## **2000 Hawaii State Police Commissioners' Conference**

The 2000 Hawaii State Police Commissioners' Conference was hosted by the Maui Police Commission on May 25-26, 2000, at the Maui Grand Wailea Resort Hotel. The theme of the conference entitled "The Police Commissioner - A Citizen's Commitment" was appropriate and timely as it emphasized the importance of carrying out the function, duties and responsibilities of a police commissioner and what is expected of them.

A panel consisting of the Mayors of Maui and Kauai counties and the Maui County Director of Housing & Human Services had an informative discussion about the appointment and selection process of a police commissioner, the ratification of a police commissioner and the expectations in discharging their duties and responsibilities. The keynote speaker, the Honorable David A. Ezra, Chief Justice, U. S. District Court, District of Hawaii, talked about the integrity, respect and fairness that a police officer needs to manifest while addressing issues within the community and how this can impact the role of a police commissioner.

The Maui County's Prosecutor, Corporation Counsel, Police Department Internal Affairs Commander and SHOPO's Senior General Counsel served as panelists in a discussion about the receipt and investigation of a complaint. Four breakout groups were given a practical exercise of reviewing and analyzing a complaint against a police officer that had been investigated and rendering a decision to sustain or not sustain the allegations against the officer.

The Traffic Lieutenant, School Resource Supervisor, Visitor Oriented and Community Officers of the Maui Police Department, Maui County Corporation Counsel and Kihei Community Association President were members of the third panel. Each panelist presented their perspective of their duties and responsibilities and how they impact community policing and the police commissioner.

A banquet, under Maui's skylight on the beach courtyard entertained by beautiful contemporary Hawaiian music and the Zenshin Daiko, was a delightful way to conclude a meaningful and informative conference.

**2000 Hawaii State Law Enforcement  
Officials Association Conference**

"The Role of Law Enforcement in Reducing Firearm and Motor Vehicle Injury and Death" was the topic of the 47th Annual HSLEOA Conference, held at the Sheraton Waikiki on August 13-15, 2000. Law enforcement's primary responsibility is to maintain communities and neighborhoods safe and to prevent injuries and death. Two top causes of injuries and death and threaten safety are firearms and motor vehicles. Increasing the depth and breadth of knowledge and understanding of firearms and motor vehicle injuries and deaths will help law enforcement to do more in these two areas.

Honolulu Prosecuting Attorney Peter Carlisle gave the keynote address highlighting two significant cases involving firearms and motor vehicles which caused several deaths.

Various subjects regarding firearms included Joining Forces Again: Gun Violence as a Public Health Issue; Firearm Related Injuries: Circumstances and Cost; Gun Violence Survivors: The Personal Cost; Legislative Efforts to Deter Gun Violence; The Future of Firearms Regulations in the United States and Beyond: Money, Politics, Victims, Opportunities, and Challenges; and Enforcing, Firearms Control...Saving Lives were presented. These presentations were informative, useful and valuable.

Presentations about motor vehicle as it relates to cause injury and death included As Dangerous as a Loaded Weapon; Mobility and Accessibility in Later Life; Growing Pains...An Island in Transition; and Aggressive Driving: Prevention, Education, Legislation were brought before the attendees. These topics of discussion were insightful and educational.

The conference was climaxed by a banquet honoring HSLEOA Officers of the Year, who were selected from the Hawaii State local police department, federal agency, and military organization are as follows:

Lt. Frank T. Fujii	Honolulu Police Department
Ofr. Denis A. Cabacungan	Maui County Police Department
Ofr. Darla J. Abbatiello	Kauai County Police Department
Sgt. Delphine W. Soares, Jr.	Hawaii County Police Department
SSA Richard Bratt	U. S. Customs Service
Sgt. Gunnar Stafford	U. S. Marine Corps

## ACTIVITIES

---

### **2000 Annual National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement**

The 6th Annual NACOLE Conference was held at the Radisson Kauai Beach Resort from September 26-29, 2000, with its theme, "Meeting the Challenge and Duty of Oversight: the Work of Community and Police" provided valuable and technical information on civilian review, opportunity to receive practical experience and network with practitioners of civilian oversight, police administrators and citizens from across the country. The keynote address was presented by the Inspector General of the Los Angeles Police Department about their Rampart Division corruption.

Assessing Credibility was addressed by a panel in how to judge and evaluate the credibility of investigation documents and reports of complaints where there are conflicting and uncorroborated statements from complainants, witnesses and accused police officers. Mediation: When, Why and How was an informative and practical session that highlighted the mediation process in various cities such as Berkeley, California, Minneapolis, Minnesota and Rochester, New York. The panel described the usefulness of mediation and discussed when to and when not to use it.

The presentation, Models of Civilian Oversight: Similarities, Differences and Expectations, was designed for persons new to civilian oversight. This session focused on the varieties of civilian oversight and identify similarities and differences and the expected and predictable challenges. Managing the Oversight Process: Making Sense of It All, was a nuts and bolts discussion of issues to be anticipated in organizing a new office, implementing operational procedures and policies, creating agency budget and interfacing with city administration, law departments, police executives and unions. Case Study: Practicum was a practical training exercise to explore how to examine conflicting statements of the complainant and accused officer and to arrive at a conclusion.

# ACTIVITIES



## Police Commission Activities



Comr. Yoshimura and others participating in Police Week proclamation at AMSC.



Vice-Chair Thompson and Comr. Ho congratulating Assistant Chief Barbara Wong on her retirement.



Chair Leong and Chief Donohue recognizing CID Missing Persons Lieutenant and detectives.




## Police Commission Activities



Comr. Ho and Gendrano and Chief Donohue honoring Officer Scoville.



Chair Leong speaking at conference.



Chair Leong and Chief Donohue with graduates of Citizens Police Academy, 13th class.

## COMPLAINT CASES

There were 128 cases during 2000. The following is a breakdown of the cases by districts/divisions:

<u>District/Division Case Occurred</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percentage of 128 Cases</u>
District 1	24	19%
District 2	13	10%
District 3	11	9%
District 4	16	13%
District 5	13	10%
District 6	12	9%
District 7	13	10%
District 8	8	6%
CRD	5	4%
Traffic	8	6%
CID	2	1%
Narco/Vice	1	1%
Specialized Services	1	1%
Human Resources	<u>1</u>	1%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>128</b>	

- District 1 - Central Honolulu (Punahou to Liliha Street)
- District 2 - Mililani, north to Haleiwa, and west to Kaena Point
- District 3 - Aiea, Pearl City, Waipahu
- District 4 - Makapuu Point to Haleiwa (Waimanalo, Kailua, Kaneohe, Kahuku)
- District 5 - Liliha Street to Aiea
- District 6 - Waikiki (Ala Wai to Diamond Head)
- District 7 - East Honolulu (Punahou to Makapuu Point)
- District 8 - West of Kunia Road to Kaena Point (Ewa to Makaha)

The number of cases increased 17% from 111 cases in 1999 to 128 cases in 2000.

At the end of the year, the Commission made decisions on 99 of the 128 cases. They sustained 40 of the total 296 allegations within the 99 cases (40%).

## SUMMARY OF COMPLAINTS

The following is a breakdown of the complaints that the Commission took action on at its meeting from January through December 2000:

**	S	NS	EX	UF	W/D
Conduct Unbecoming an Ofr....	6	29	15	0	2
Damaging Private Property....	1	0	0	0	0
Degrading Language.....	9	14	7	0	0
Dereliction of Duty.....	0	2	1	0	1
Excessive Use of Force.....	0	0	3	0	0
Harassment.....	1	5	5	0	0
Harsh/Insolent Language.....	7	11	9	0	1
Malicious Use of Force.....	0	3	0	0	0
Mistreatment of a Prisoner...	0	13	21	0	1
Name/Badge Number.....	2	2	1	0	0
Overbearing Conduct.....	10	32	13	1	1
Partial Attitude.....	2	6	0	1	1
Theft of Property.....	0	0	0	0	0
Threatening.....	2	21	13	0	0
Unnecessary Use of Force.....	0	9	8	0	1
Unnec Use of Weapon-Firearm..	0	0	0	0	0
Unnec Use of Weapon-OC.....	0	0	1	0	1
<b>TOTALS.....</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>

**TOTAL ALLEGATIONS:.....296**

(Includes 10 allegations for 3 Withdrawals)


\*\* S=Sustained, NS=Not Sustained, EX=Exonerated,  
UF=Unfounded, W/D=Withdrawn

# HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSION



## COMPLAINT CASES REGISTERED BY MONTH

Fiscal Year 1997/98, Years 1999, 2000



# HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSION

## COMPLAINT CASE REGISTRATION - COMPARATIVE FIGURES

	FY 1997-1998		Y 1999		Y 2000	
	<b>JULY</b>	14	14*	14	14*	7
<b>AUGUST</b>	10	24	10	24	13	20
<b>SEPTEMBER</b>	9	33	8	32	8	28
<b>OCTOBER</b>	14	47	7	39	8	36
<b>NOVEMBER</b>	8	55	11	50	9	45
<b>DECEMBER</b>	9	64	10	60	9	54
<b>JANUARY</b>	12	76	9	69	7	61
<b>FEBRUARY</b>	5	81	9	78	20	81
<b>MARCH</b>	15	96	11	89	12	93
<b>APRIL</b>	9	105	10	99	13	106
<b>MAY</b>	8	113	6	105	14	120
<b>JUNE</b>	7	120	6	111	8	128
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>120</b>		<b>111</b>		<b>128</b>	

\*Running Total

# HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSION

## NUMBER OF COMPLAINT CASES PER OFFICERS

Fiscal Year 1997/98, Years 1999, 2000

NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS	NUMBER OF OFFICERS FY 1997/98	NUMBER OF OFFICERS Y 1999	NUMBER OF OFFICERS Y 2000
1	114	116	125
2	14	13	20
3	3	4	4
4	0	3	0
5	1	0	0
6	0	0	1
7	0	0	0
8	0	0	0
9	0	0	0
10	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>136*</b>	<b>150**</b>

does not include 16 complaints against unidentified officers  
 \* does not include 11 complaints against unidentified officers  
 \*\* does not include 11 complaints against unidentified officers

# HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSION

## REQUESTS FOR LEGAL COUNSEL BY POLICE OFFICERS

YEAR 2000

	NUMBER RECEIVED	APPROVED	DENIED
JANUARY	3	1	0
FEBRUARY	2	9	1
MARCH	2	1	0
APRIL	3	2	0
MAY	6	2	0
JUNE	3	6	0
JULY	4	5	0
AUGUST	4	1	1
SEPTEMBER	2	2	2
OCTOBER	3	2	3
NOVEMBER	9	0	0
DECEMBER	5	2	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>7</b>

# HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSION

## COMPLAINT REFERRALS BY HPD

YEAR 2000

	NUMBER RECEIVED	LETTERS SENT TO COMPLAINANTS	COMPLAINANTS RESPONDED	COMPLAINANTS NOT RESPONDED
JANUARY	1	1	0	1
FEBRUARY	1	1	0	1
MARCH	1	1	0	1
APRIL	1	1	1	0
MAY	1	1	1	0
JUNE	0	0	0	0
JULY	0	0	0	0
AUGUST	1	1	1	0
SEPTEMBER	0	0	0	0
OCTOBER	1	1	0	1
NOVEMBER	1	1	0	1
DECEMBER	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>

# HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSION

## REPORTS REQUESTED BY CORPORATION COUNSEL

YEAR 2000

	CASES	NUMBER OF REPORTS	NUMBER OF PAGES
JANUARY	1	1	341
FEBRUARY	2	9	937
MARCH	3	9	165
APRIL	0	0	0
MAY	0	0	0
JUNE	2	2	86
JULY	2	4	246
AUGUST	1	1	19
SEPTEMBER	0	0	0
OCTOBER	0	0	0
NOVEMBER	0	0	0
DECEMBER	1	2	60
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1,854</b>

# HONOLULU POLICE COMMISSION

## REQUESTS FOR SUBPOENA REPORTS

YEAR 2000

	PUBLIC DEFENDER	PRIVATE ATTORNEYS	OTHER AGENCIES	NO. OF REPORTS	NO. OF PAGES
JANUARY	1	0	0	9	695
FEBRUARY	1	2	0	12	721
MARCH	2	3	0	8	377
APRIL	2	0	0	1	152
MAY	1	2	0	11	277
JUNE	0	0	0	0	0
JULY	0	1	0	4	246
AUGUST	2	4	0	3	100
SEPTEMBER	3	0	0	3	155
OCTOBER	0	1	0	1	107
NOVEMBER	1	1	0	2	118
DECEMBER	2	2	0	3	503
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>3,451</b>

HONOLULU PUBLIC COMMISSION

REPORTS & FORM SUBMITTAL REPORTS

YEAR 2008

MONTH	NO. OF REPORTS	NO. OF FORMS	NO. OF LETTERS	NO. OF COMMENTS
JANUARY	0	0	0	0
FEBRUARY	12	0	0	0
MARCH	8	0	0	0
APRIL	1	0	0	0
MAY	14	0	0	0
JUNE	0	0	0	0
JULY	4	0	0	0
AUGUST	7	0	0	0
SEPTEMBER	2	0	0	0
OCTOBER	1	0	0	0
NOVEMBER	1	0	0	0
DECEMBER	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

HV7890

H6

A25

2000

copy 3

Honolulu Police Commission Annual Report 2000.