

~~Speaker Registration/Testimony~~

Name B.A. McClintock  
 Phone 8080000000  
 Email redahi@hawaii.rr.com  
 Meeting Date 04-05-2017  
 Council/PH Committee Budget  
 Agenda Item Bill 78  
 Your position on the matter Oppose  
 Representing Self  
 Organization  
 Do you wish to speak at the hearing? No

RECEIVED  
 CITY CLERK  
 C & C OF HONOLULU  
 2017 APR -3 PM 12:05

As a member of The OutdoorCircle I am opposed to Bill 78 because it would increase sign clutter in parks and on public buildings and equipment throughout the Island of Oahu.

Throughout its 104 year history, The Outdoor Circle has been dedicated to eliminating visual blight and sign clutter in Hawaii.

We advocated for and strongly support Hawaii's sign law because it prohibits all outdoor, off-site advertising signs. This means that no one can display visual messages anywhere outdoors except on their own property. And here on Oahu, advertising on one's own property is also strictly regulated by the sign ordinance of the City and County of Honolulu.

Written  
 Testimony

We believe the reasons for these strict laws against visual pollution are obvious. Hawaii is the most beautiful and special place on earth. The natural beauty of these islands supports our tourism industry and enhances the quality of life for all of our residents. We must support and protect our laws against sign clutter in order to preserve our visual environment for future generations. This is a duty The Outdoor Circle takes very seriously. And, we know our elected officials take this duty seriously also.

The currently proposed 'Bill 78 Relating to Sponsorships' seriously threatens to weaken our sign laws because it allows off-site visual displays to be placed outdoors on City-owned land, buildings and equipment in return for a financial payment from the sponsor. This clearly violates the intent of Hawaii's sign law. If it is allowed to pass, it will begin the erosion of that law.

The Outdoor Circle opposed Bill 78 when it was discussed in 2016 and asked that the Council amend it to specifically prohibit use of any type of sign as sponsor recognition.

I am disappointed that another version of Bill 78 has been brought forward this year that still does not prohibit signs and proposes to sell advertising in parks and on a wide range of other City assets.

Several years ago, those who wanted to proliferate huge signs pulled by airplanes or trucks sued the City and County of Honolulu for violating their First Amendment right to free speech. After a lengthy and costly legal battle, the court upheld our sign laws on the grounds that all parties who wanted to proliferate signs were treated the same in Hawaii. Bill 78 would change that by making exceptions based on financial payments in the City. Once our sign laws are put in jeopardy, they will be challenged from many quarters, and the next court ruling may not go our way.

I urge you to take a stand today in support of strong sign laws. Please vote against this latest version of Bill 78 and any other proposal that seeks to make exceptions to the prohibition against off-site outdoor signage. Future generations will thank you for preserving the scenic beauty of Oahu.

Testimony  
Attachment  
Accept Terms  
and Agreement 1

# Speaker Registration/Testimony

Name Valerie Yontz  
 Phone 808-261-6682  
 Email vyontz@hawaii.edu  
 Meeting Date 04-05-2017  
 Council/PH Committee Budget  
 Agenda Item Bill 78 Sponsorships  
 Your position on the matter Oppose  
 Representing Self  
 Organization  
 Do you wish to speak at the hearing? No

RECEIVED  
 CITY CLERK  
 C & C OFFICE  
 HONOLULU  
 2017 APR -5 AM 8:15

Opposing Bill 78, CD1

April 5, 2017

As a member of TheOutdoorCircle I am opposed to Bill 78 because it would increase sign clutter in parks and on public buildings and equipment throughout the Island of Oahu.

Throughout its 104 year history, The Outdoor Circle has been dedicated to eliminating visual blight and sign clutter in Hawaii.

Written  
Testimony

We advocated for and strongly support Hawaii's sign law because it prohibits all outdoor, off-site advertising signs. This means that no one can display visual messages anywhere outdoors except on their own property. And here on Oahu, advertising on one's own property is also strictly regulated by the sign ordinance of the City and County of Honolulu.

We believe the reasons for these strict laws against visual pollution are obvious. Hawaii is the most beautiful and special place on earth. The natural beauty of these islands supports our tourism industry and enhances the quality of life for all of our residents. We must support and protect our laws against sign clutter in order to preserve our visual environment for future generations. This is a duty The Outdoor Circle takes very seriously. And, we know our elected officials take this duty seriously also.

The currently proposed 'Bill 78 Relating to Sponsorships' seriously threatens to weaken our sign laws because it allows off-site visual displays to be placed outdoors on City-owned land, buildings and equipment in return for a financial payment from the sponsor. This clearly violates the intent of Hawaii's sign law. If it is allowed to pass, it

will begin the erosion of that law.

The Outdoor Circle opposed Bill 78 when it was discussed in 2016 and asked that the Council amend it to specifically prohibit use of any type of sign as sponsor recognition. I am disappointed that another version of Bill 78 has been brought forward this year that still does not prohibit signs and proposes to sell advertising in parks and on a wide range of other City assets.

Several years ago, those who wanted to proliferate huge signs pulled by airplanes or trucks sued the City and County of Honolulu for violating their First Amendment right to free speech. After a lengthy and costly legal battle, the court upheld our sign laws on the grounds that all parties who wanted to proliferate signs were treated the same in Hawaii. Bill 78 would change that by making exceptions based on financial payments to the City. Once our sign laws are put in jeopardy, they will be challenged from many quarters, and the next court ruling may not go our way.

I urge you to take a stand today in support of strong sign laws. Please vote against this latest version of Bill 78 and any other proposal that seeks to make exceptions to the prohibition against off-site outdoor signage. Future generations will thank you for preserving the scenic beauty of Oahu.

Sincerely,

Valerie Yontz  
677 Auwina Street  
Kailau, HI 96734

Testimony  
Attachment  
Accept Terms  
and Agreement

# Speaker Registration/Testimony

Name Cyndi Wong  
 Phone 8082616006  
 Email 808lanikai@gmail.com  
 Meeting Date 04-05-2017  
 Council/PH Committee Budget  
 Agenda Item Opposing Bill 78, CD1  
 Your position on the matter Oppose  
 Representing Organization  
 Organization TheOutdoorCircle  
 Do you wish to speak at the hearing? No

RECEIVED  
 CITY CLERK  
 C & C OFFICE  
 2017 APR -5 AM 7:54  
 HONOLULU

Opposing Bill 78, CD1

April 5, 2017

As a member of TheOutdoorCircle I am opposed to Bill 78 because it would increase sign clutter in parks and on public buildings and equipment throughout the Island of Oahu.

Throughout its 104 year history, The Outdoor Circle has been dedicated to eliminating visual blight and sign clutter in Hawaii.

Written  
Testimony

We advocated for and strongly support Hawaii's sign law because it prohibits all outdoor, off-site advertising signs. This means that no one can display visual messages anywhere outdoors except on their own property. And here on Oahu, advertising on one's own property is also strictly regulated by the sign ordinance of the City and County of Honolulu.

We believe the reasons for these strict laws against visual pollution are obvious. Hawaii is the most beautiful and special place on earth. The natural beauty of these islands supports our tourism industry and enhances the quality of life for all of our residents. We must support and protect our laws against sign clutter in order to preserve our visual environment for future generations. This is a duty The Outdoor Circle takes very seriously. And, we know our elected officials take this duty seriously also.

The currently proposed 'Bill 78 Relating to Sponsorships' seriously threatens to weaken our sign laws because it allows off-site visual displays to be placed outdoors on City-owned land, buildings and equipment in return for a financial payment from the sponsor. This clearly violates the intent of Hawaii's sign law. If it is allowed to pass, it

will begin the erosion of that law.

The Outdnor Circle opposed Bill 78 when it was discussed in 2016 and asked that the Council amend it to specifically prohibit use of any type of sign as sponsor recognition. I am disappointed that another version of Bill 78 has been brought forward this year that still does not prohibit signs and proposes to sell advertising in parks and on a wide range of other City assets.

Several years ago, those who wanted to proliferate huge signs pulled by airplanes or trucks sued the City and County of Honolulu for violating their First Amendment right to free speech. After a lengthy and costly legal battle, the court upheld our sign laws on the grounds that all parties who wanted to proliferate signs were treated the same in Hawaii. Bill 78 would change that by making exceptions based on financial payments to the City. Once our sign laws are put in jeopardy, they will be challenged from many quarters, and the next court ruling may not go our way.

I urge you to take a stand today in support of strong sign laws. Please vote against this latest version of Bill 78 and any other proposal that seeks to make exceptions to the prohibition against off-site outdoor signage. Future generations will thank you for preserving the scenic beauty of Oahu.

Testimony  
Attachment  
Accept Terms  
and Agreement 1

**~~Speaker Registration~~/Testimony**

Name Thomas Tizard  
 Phone 261-6626  
 Email tizard8@hawaii.rr.com  
 Meeting Date 04-05-2017  
 Council/PH Committee Budget  
 Agenda Item BILL 78  
 Your position on the matter Oppose  
 Representing Organization Self  
 Do you wish to speak at the hearing? No

RECEIVED  
 CITY CLERK  
 G & C OF HONOLULU  
 2017 APR -3 PM 4:09

As a partner of a member of TheOutdoorCircle I am opposed to Bill 78 because it would increase sign clutter in parks and on public buildings and equipment throughout the Island of Oahu.

Throughout its 104 year history, The Outdoor Circle has been dedicated to eliminating visual blight and sign clutter in Hawaii.

We advocated for and strongly support Hawaii's sign law because it prohibits all outdoor, off-site advertising signs. This means that no one can display visual messages anywhere outdoors except on their own property. And here on Oahu, advertising on one's own property is also strictly regulated by the sign ordinance of the City and County of Honolulu.

Written  
 Testimony

We believe the reasons for these strict laws against visual pollution are obvious. Hawaii is the most beautiful and special place on earth. The natural beauty of these islands supports our tourism industry and enhances the quality of life for all of our residents. We must support and protect our laws against sign clutter in order to preserve our visual environment for future generations. This is a duty The Outdoor Circle takes very seriously. And, we know our elected officials take this duty seriously also.

The currently proposed 'Bill 78 Relating to Sponsorships' seriously threatens to weaken our sign laws because it allows off-site visual displays to be placed outdoors on City-owned land, buildings and equipment in return for a financial payment from the sponsor. This clearly violates the intent of Hawaii's sign law. If it is allowed to pass, it will begin the erosion of that law.

The Outdoor Circle opposed Bill 78 when it was discussed in 2016 and asked that the

Council amend it to specifically prohibit use of any type of sign as sponsor recognition. I am disappointed that another version of Bill 78 has been brought forward this year that still does not prohibit signs and proposes to sell advertising in parks and on a wide range of other City assets.

Several years ago, those who wanted to proliferate huge signs pulled by airplanes or trucks sued the City and County of Honolulu for violating their First Amendment right to free speech. After a lengthy and costly legal battle, the court upheld our sign laws on the grounds that all parties who wanted to proliferate signs were treated the same in Hawaii. Bill 78 would change that by making exceptions based on financial payments to the City. Once our sign laws are put in jeopardy, they will be challenged from many quarters, and the next court ruling may not go our way.

I urge you to take a stand today in support of strong sign laws. Please vote against this latest version of Bill 78 and any other proposal that seeks to make exceptions to the prohibition against off-site outdoor signage. Future generations will thank you for preserving the scenic beauty of Oahu.

Testimony  
Attachment  
Accept Terms  
and Agreement 1

ITEM NO. 15  
BILL 78 (2015)~~Speaker Registration/Testimony~~

Name Terry Kristiansen  
 Phone 808-248-7600  
 Email greentoes@alohaservices.net  
 Meeting Date 04-05-2017  
 Council/PH Committee Budget  
 Agenda Item Bill 78  
 Your position on the matter Oppose  
 Representing Organization  
 Organization The Outdoor Circle  
 Do you wish to speak at the hearing? No

RECEIVED  
 CITY CLERK  
 C & C OF HONOLULU  
 2017 APR -4 AM 8:20

As a member of The OutdoorCircle I am opposed to Bill 78 because it would increase sign clutter in parks and on public buildings and equipment throughout the Island of Oahu.

Throughout its 104 year history, The Outdoor Circle has been dedicated to eliminating visual blight and sign clutter in Hawaii.

We advocated for and strongly support Hawaii's sign law because it prohibits all outdoor, off-site advertising signs. This means that no one can display visual messages anywhere outdoors except on their own property. And here on Oahu, advertising on one's own property is also strictly regulated by the sign ordinance of the City and County of Honolulu.

Written  
 Testimony

We believe the reasons for these strict laws against visual pollution are obvious. Hawaii is the most beautiful and special place on earth. The natural beauty of these islands supports our tourism industry and enhances the quality of life for all of our residents. We must support and protect our laws against sign clutter in order to preserve our visual environment for future generations. This is a duty The Outdoor Circle takes very seriously. And, we know our elected officials take this duty seriously also.

The currently proposed 'Bill 78 Relating to Sponsorships' seriously threatens to weaken our sign laws because it allows off-site visual displays to be placed outdoors on City-owned land, buildings and equipment in return for a financial payment from the sponsor. This clearly violates the intent of Hawaii's sign law. If it is allowed to pass, it will begin the erosion of that law.

The Outdoor Circle opposed Bill 78 when it was discussed in 2016 and asked that the Council amend it to specifically prohibit use of any type of sign as sponsor recognition.

I am disappointed that another version of Bill 78 has been brought forward this year that still does not prohibit signs and proposes to sell advertising in parks and on a wide range of other City assets.

Several years ago, those who wanted to proliferate huge signs pulled by airplanes or trucks sued the City and County of Honolulu for violating their First Amendment right to free speech. After a lengthy and costly legal battle, the court upheld our sign laws on the grounds that all parties who wanted to proliferate signs were treated the same in Hawaii. Bill 78 would change that by making exceptions based on financial payments to the City. Once our sign laws are put in jeopardy, they will be challenged from many quarters, and the next court ruling may not go our way.

I urge you to take a stand today in support of strong sign laws. Please vote against this latest version of Bill 78 and any other proposal that seeks to make exceptions to the prohibition against off-site outdoor signage. Future generations will thank you for preserving the scenic beauty of Oahu.

Testimony  
Attachment  
Accept Terms  
and Agreement 1

# ~~Speaker Registration~~/Testimony

Name Susan James  
 Phone 8082613045  
 Email suejameskailua@gmail.com  
 Meeting Date 04-05-2017  
 Council/PH Committee Budget  
 Agenda Item Bill 78  
 Your position on the matter Oppose  
 Representing Organization  
 Organization Outdoor circle  
 Do you wish to speak at the hearing? No

RECEIVED  
 CITY CLERK  
 C & C OF HONOLULU  
 2017 APR -3 PM 12:05

Opposing Bill 78, CD1

April 5, 2017

As a member of TheOutdoorCircle I am opposed to Bill 78 because it would increase sign clutter in parks and on public buildings and equipment throughout the Island of Oahu.

Throughout its 104 year history, The Outdoor Circle has been dedicated to eliminating visual blight and sign clutter in Hawaii.

We advocated for and strongly support Hawaii's sign law because it prohibits all outdoor, off-site advertising signs. This means that no one can display visual messages anywhere outdoors except on their own property. And here on Oahu, advertising on one's own property is also strictly regulated by the sign ordinance of the City and County of Honolulu.

Written  
 Testimony

We believe the reasons for these strict laws against visual pollution are obvious. Hawaii is the most beautiful and special place on earth. The natural beauty of these islands supports our tourism industry and enhances the quality of life for all of our residents. We must support and protect our laws against sign clutter in order to preserve our visual environment for future generations. This is a duty The Outdoor Circle takes very seriously. And, we know our elected officials take this duty seriously also.

The currently proposed 'Bill 78 Relating to Sponsorships' seriously threatens to weaken our sign laws because it allows off-site visual displays to be placed outdoors on City-owned land, buildings and equipment in return for a financial payment from the sponsor. This clearly violates the intent of Hawaii's sign law. If it is allowed to pass, it

will begin the erosion of that law.

The Outdoor Circle opposed Bill 78 when it was discussed in 2016 and asked that the Council amend it to specifically prohibit use of any type of sign as sponsor recognition. I am disappointed that another version of Bill 78 has been brought forward this year that still does not prohibit signs and proposes to sell advertising in parks and on a wide range of other City assets.

Several years ago, those who wanted to proliferate huge signs pulled by airplanes or trucks sued the City and County of Honolulu for violating their First Amendment right to free speech. After a lengthy and costly legal battle, the court upheld our sign laws on the grounds that all parties who wanted to proliferate signs were treated the same in Hawaii. Bill 78 would change that by making exceptions based on financial payments to the City. Once our sign laws are put in jeopardy, they will be challenged from many quarters, and the next court ruling may not go our way.

I urge you to take a stand today in support of strong sign laws. Please vote against this latest version of Bill 78 and any other proposal that seeks to make exceptions to the prohibition against off-site outdoor signage. Future generations will thank you for preserving the scenic beauty of Oahu.

Testimony  
Attachment  
Accept Terms  
and Agreement I

# ~~Speaker Registration~~/Testimony

Name Karin Ingersoll  
 Phone 808-255-2358  
 Email naaualii@hotmail.com  
 Meeting Date 04-05-2017  
 Council/PH Committee Budget  
 Agenda Item Bill 78  
 Your position on the matter Oppose  
 Representing Organization Self  
 Do you wish to speak at the hearing? No

2017 APR -3 PM 2:05

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK  
C. A. C. OF HONOLULU

Opposing Bill 78, CD1

April 5, 2017

As a member of The OutdoorCircle I am opposed to Bill 78 because it would increase sign clutter in parks and on public buildings and equipment throughout the Island of Oahu.

Throughout its 104 year history, The Outdoor Circle has been dedicated to eliminating visual blight and sign clutter in Hawaii.

Written  
Testimony

We advocated for and strongly support Hawaii's sign law because it prohibits all outdoor, off-site advertising signs. This means that no one can display visual messages anywhere outdoors except on their own property. And here on Oahu, advertising on one's own property is also strictly regulated by the sign ordinance of the City and County of Honolulu.

We believe the reasons for these strict laws against visual pollution are obvious. Hawaii is the most beautiful and special place on earth. The natural beauty of these islands supports our tourism industry and enhances the quality of life for all of our residents. We must support and protect our laws against sign clutter in order to preserve our visual environment for future generations. This is a duty The Outdoor Circle takes very seriously. And, we know our elected officials take this duty seriously also.

The currently proposed 'Bill 78 Relating to Sponsorships' seriously threatens to weaken our sign laws because it allows off-site visual displays to be placed outdoors on City-owned land, buildings and equipment in return for a financial payment from the sponsor. This clearly violates the intent of Hawaii's sign law. If it is allowed to pass, it

will begin the erosion of that law.

The Outdoor Circle opposed Bill 78 when it was discussed in 2016 and asked that the Council amend it to specifically prohibit use of any type of sign as sponsor recognition. I am disappointed that another version of Bill 78 has been brought forward this year that still does not prohibit signs and proposes to sell advertising in parks and on a wide range of other City assets.

Several years ago, those who wanted to proliferate huge signs pulled by airplanes or trucks sued the City and County of Honolulu for violating their First Amendment right to free speech. After a lengthy and costly legal battle, the court upheld our sign laws on the grounds that all parties who wanted to proliferate signs were treated the same in Hawaii. Bill 78 would change that by making exceptions based on financial payments to the City. Once our sign laws are put in jeopardy, they will be challenged from many quarters, and the next court ruling may not go our way.

I urge you to take a stand today in support of strong sign laws. Please vote against this latest version of Bill 78 and any other proposal that seeks to make exceptions to the prohibition against off-site outdoor signage. Future generations will thank you for preserving the scenic beauty of Oahu.

Sincerely,

Karin Ingersoll

Testimony  
Attachment

Accept Terms  
and Agreement

~~Speaker Registration/Testimony~~

Name Reginald Chun  
 Phone 808-261-4973  
 Email rkqchun@msn.com  
 Meeting Date 04-05-2017  
 Council/PH Committee Council  
 Agenda Item Bill 78  
 Your position on the matter Oppose  
 Representing Self  
 Organization  
 Do you wish to speak at the hearing? No

RECEIVED  
 CITY CLERK  
 C & C OF HONOLULU  
 2017 APR -1, AM 7:36

Opposing Bill 78, CD1

April 5, 2017

As a tax paying citizen I am opposed to Bill 78 because it would increase sign clutter in parks and on public buildings and equipment throughout the Island of Oahu.

Throughout its 104 year history, The Outdoor Circle has been dedicated to eliminating visual blight and sign clutter in Hawaii.

We advocated for and strongly support Hawaii's sign law because it prohibits all outdoor, off-site advertising signs. This means that no one can display visual messages anywhere outdoors except on their own property. And here on Oahu, advertising on one's own property is also strictly regulated by the sign ordinance of the City and County of Honolulu.

Written  
 Testimony

We believe the reasons for these strict laws against visual pollution are obvious. Hawaii is the most beautiful and special place on earth. The natural beauty of these islands supports our tourism industry and enhances the quality of life for all of our residents. We must support and protect our laws against sign clutter in order to preserve our visual environment for future generations. This is a duty The Outdoor Circle takes very seriously. And, we know our elected officials take this duty seriously also.

The currently proposed 'Bill 78 Relating to Sponsorships' seriously threatens to weaken our sign laws because it allows off-site visual displays to be placed outdoors on City-owned land, buildings and equipment in return for a financial payment from the sponsor. This clearly violates the intent of Hawaii's sign law. If it is allowed to pass, it will begin the erosion of that law.

The Outdoor Circle opposed Bill 78 when it was discussed in 2016 and asked that the Council amend it to specifically prohibit use of any type of sign as sponsor recognition. I am disappointed that another version of Bill 78 has been brought forward this year that still does not prohibit signs and proposes to sell advertising in parks and on a wide range of other City assets.

Several years ago, those who wanted to proliferate huge signs pulled by airplanes or trucks sued the City and County of Honolulu for violating their First Amendment right to free speech. After a lengthy and costly legal battle, the court upheld our sign laws on the grounds that all parties who wanted to proliferate signs were treated the same in Hawaii. Bill 78 would change that by making exceptions based on financial payments to the City. Once our sign laws are put in jeopardy, they will be challenged from many quarters, and the next court ruling may not go our way.

I urge you to take a stand today in support of strong sign laws. Please vote against this latest version of Bill 78 and any other proposal that seeks to make exceptions in the prohibition against off-site outdoor signage. Future generations will thank you for preserving the scenic beauty of Oahu.

Testimony  
Attachment  
Accept Terms  
and Agreement 1

ITEM NO. 15  
BILL 78 (2015)

# ~~Speaker Registration/Testimony~~

Name Richard Mumaw  
 Phone 8082629860  
 Email richard.mumaw@hawaiiantel.net  
 Meeting Date 04-05-2017  
 Council/PH Committee Budget  
 Agenda Item Bill 78  
 Your position on the matter Oppose  
 Representing Self  
 Organization  
 Do you wish to speak at the hearing? No

RECEIVED  
 CITY CLERK  
 C & C OFFICIALS  
 2017 APR -4 AM 8:27

Opposing Bill 78, CD1

April 5, 2017

As a member of TheOutdoorCircle I am opposed to Bill 78 because it would increase sign clutter in parks and on public buildings and equipment throughout the Island of Oahu.

Throughout its 104 year history, The Outdoor Circle has been dedicated to eliminating visual blight and sign clutter in Hawaii.

Written  
 Testimony

We advocated for and strongly support Hawaii's sign law because it prohibits all outdoor, off-site advertising signs. This means that no one can display visual messages anywhere outdoors except on their own property. And here on Oahu, advertising on one's own property is also strictly regulated by the sign ordinance of the City and County of Honolulu.

We believe the reasons for these strict laws against visual pollution are obvious. Hawaii is the most beautiful and special place on earth. The natural beauty of these islands supports our tourism industry and enhances the quality of life for all of our residents. We must support and protect our laws against sign clutter in order to preserve our visual environment for future generations. This is a duty The Outdoor Circle takes very seriously. And, we know our elected officials take this duty seriously also.

The currently proposed 'Bill 78 Relating to Sponsorships' seriously threatens to weaken our sign laws because it allows off-site visual displays to be placed outdoors on City-owned land, buildings and equipment in return for a financial payment from the sponsor. This clearly violates the intent of Hawaii's sign law. If it is allowed to pass, it will begin the erosion of that law.

4/4/2017

The Outdoor Circle opposed Bill 78 when it was discussed in 2016 and asked that the Council amend it to specifically prohibit use of any type of sign as sponsor recognition. I am disappointed that another version of Bill 78 has been brought forward this year that still does not prohibit signs and proposes to sell advertising in parks and on a wide range of other City assets.

Several years ago, those who wanted to proliferate huge signs pulled by airplanes or trucks sued the City and County of Honolulu for violating their First Amendment right to free speech. After a lengthy and costly legal battle, the court upheld our sign laws on the grounds that all parties who wanted to proliferate signs were treated the same in Hawaii. Bill 78 would change that by making exceptions based on financial payments to the City. Once our sign laws are put in jeopardy, they will be challenged from many quarters, and the next court ruling may not go our way.

I urge you to take a stand today in support of strong sign laws. Please vote against this latest version of Bill 78 and any other proposal that seeks to make exceptions to the prohibition against off-site outdoor signage. Future generations will thank you for preserving the scenic beauty of Oahu.

Testimony  
Attachment  
Accept Terms  
and Agreement 1