

**From:** CLK Council Info  
**Sent:** Monday, May 09, 2016 4:57 PM  
**Subject:** Budget Speaker Registration/Testimony

## ~~Speaker Registration~~/Testimony

All fields marked "\*" are required and must be completed in order for this form to be valid.  
Note: Registrations are not accepted prior to the agendas being posted.

**Name(\*)** Kapiolani Park Preservation Society  
**Phone (\*)** 808-545-7035  
**Email (\*)** akr9@hawaii.rr.com  
**Meeting Date (\*)** 2016-05-10  
**Council/PH Committee (\*)** Budget  
**Agenda Item (\*)** Bill 14, Zoo Parking Study  
**Your position on the matter (\*)** Oppose  
**Representing (\*)** Organization  
**Organization** Kapiolani Park Preservation Society  
**Do you wish to speak at the hearing? (\*)** Yes

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK  
& C OF HONOLULU  
2016 MAY -9 PM 5:30

### Written Testimony

Kapiolani Park Preservation Society is adamantly opposed to the proposed zoo parking lot expansion for many reasons. First, the Park is not for revenue raising. The court order says that existing parking is allowed only under the conditions that it be reasonably priced and be clearly marked as being for park users only. It does not contemplate any expansion of parking, and (2) it does not allow parking solely as a revenue-generator. (3) The rhetoric around this proposal shows that one of the reasons for the parking expansion is for the purpose of serving Waikiki. The Trust would not allow this, as it not a public park purpose to provide parking for businesses. (4) Such a parking lot would also serve to allow Waikiki expansion in ways currently not possible, by providing the nearby public parking that would allow developers to skirt the parking requirements for new building. Again, this is not a public park purpose. (5) This would be an aesthetic disaster. (6) The Park (and Waikiki too) can ill afford to lose the tress that would be lost. (7) The Trustees have not yet fulfilled their fiduciary duties as guardians of the Park Trust by checking on

the legality of this proposal with the Attorney General's office before trying to spend \$500,000 on a study, making this premature at best and in the grand tradition of voting first, due diligence later that has led to recent problems like the TMT fiasco. (8) There are other solutions for the Zoo. Put an attendant there - no broken machines, no angry park and zoo goers who can't pay for their parking. Mark off a designated area for the Zoo, so that Zoo attendees always know they have parking if they go to the Zoo. Have the attendant monitor, just as shopping center parking lots and other commercial lots do, to the extent possible to see that folks parking there are not using it as a parking lot for shopping and dining in Waikiki. That lot is half empty some of the time in the weekdays, and can be better managed without wasting taxpayer money and trying to skirt the Trust rules.

**Testimony  
Attachment**

**Accept Terms and  
Conditions (\*)**

1